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# Executive Summary

The analysis report presented here provides a thorough summary of the findings and conclusions resulting from the work conducted on Acme. The primary focus of this analysis was to clarify the tasks involved.

# Revision Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Revision Number | Date | Description |
| v1r0 | 05/26/2024 | First revision with some mistakes |
| V2r0 | 05/27/2024 | Final revision |
|  |  |  |

# Introduction

This analysis document seeks to summarize the procedures that have been followed to execute the tasks.

# Contents

# In this delivery, I performed various tasks, such as:

# - T-I2-Testing-Contract: I took care of conducting the tests related to Contract, namely: Create, Delete, Update, Publish, ListMine, and ListAll. It was challenging, and it took me a bit of time to get the hang of it, but gradually it became easier for me.

-T-I2-Testing-ProgressLog: I handled the tests related to ProgressLog, namely: Create, Delete, Update, and ListMine. It was a bit less complicated than Contract since I had already gotten the hang of it, but I encountered quite a few issues with authorization, which further extended the time.

# Conclusion

Conducting tests for ProgressLog and Contract presented different challenges. While the learning and execution process for Contract tests was initially complex but became more manageable over time, testing ProgressLog was generally less complicated, but encountered additional difficulties due to authorization issues. Overall, these challenges underscore the importance of prior experience and deep understanding of the systems involved to ensure effective and comprehensive testing.
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